

Peer Editing Checklist—TO4E

Editor's Name: _____ Author of paper: _____

Directions: Read your partner's paper from beginning to end. Then go back through the paper and evaluate it for each of the following areas. Avoid negative criticism. Show respect for your partner's ideas.

Tale of 4 Electrons—Part II		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Narrative	Opening is compelling and hooks your attention?				
	All four electrons' stories are told?				
	All four bond types are included?				
	Dialogue, if used, is believable and enhances the storyline?				
	Story is engrossing and entertaining, with interesting events?				
Creative and appropriate settings?					
Content	Analogies or experiences of the electrons are used to explain bonding concepts/vocabulary (not just definitions of the terms)?				
	Analogies or the experiences of the electrons accurately explain bonding concepts/vocabulary & make scientific sense?				
	Illustrations, if used, support the analogy?				
	Each type of bond formation process is adequately explained?				
	Story uses setting and plot to enhance development of analogies?				
	All required vocabulary and bonding concepts are included?				
Writing	Transition words are used to smoothly link sentences and paragraphs together?				
	Active verbs are used?				
	Text is clear and understandable?				
	A variety of sentence beginnings & sentence structures are used?				
	Story is logically and cohesively organized so that it is easy to keep track of each electron's experiences?				

Mechanics Checklist If you notice any of the following errors in the draft, clearly label them for correction.

Fragments	Spelling errors
Run-ons	Subject-verb agreement
Punctuation	Dialogue (correct punctuation)
Capitalization	Commas

Comments

- What sections need more detail?
- Should any sections be moved to improve the organization? If so, clearly indicate in the draft
- Do any sentences need to be rephrased/reworded for improved clarity?
- What is the best part of the story?